



ANZCOR Guideline 14.2 – Acute Coronary Syndromes: Initial Medical Therapy

Guideline

Who does this guideline apply to?

This guideline applies to adult victims.

Who is the audience for this guideline?

This guideline is for use by health professionals.

1 Symptomatic Therapy

There are a number of therapies in patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes (ACS) that provide relief for symptoms.

Supplemental oxygen should be initiated only if the patient has breathlessness, hypoxaemia (SpO2<94%), or signs of heart failure or shock. The use of oxygen saturation monitoring by non-invasive techniques such as pulse oximetry, may be very useful in guiding oxygen therapy² (weak recommendation, very-low-quality evidence)³. However, it is important to understand that there is evidence that hyperoxaemia is potentially harmful in uncomplicated myocardial infarction³⁴. (LOE IV). Oxygen may have a separate indication in other emergency situations at times associated with ACS however (e.g. water accidents, gas embolism etc.).

Morphine analgesia is also important symptomatic relief for patients with chest pain. Morphine may be considered for patients with ongoing symptoms of chest discomfort⁵ and titrated to relieve pain. (LOE IV). Value is placed on relieving pain and distress understanding that the evidence of benefit is lacking and further research is required regarding the possibility of harm suggested in some registry data⁵.

While anxiolytics may be administered to relieve anxiety in patients with ACS but there is no evidence that it improves outcomes for patients in terms of ECG resolution, reduced infarct, decreased mortality or morbidity in patients with suspected ACS⁶. (LOE IV)

Nitroglycerine administration may be of benefit within 3 hours of the onset of symptoms in patients with infarction in the era prior to the advent of reperfusion therapy (based on extrapolation from other contexts)⁷⁻⁹. In the current era no trial has specifically evaluated patients in the Emergency Department (ED) or prehospital settings. It is reasonable to consider the early administration of nitroglycerin in selected patients without contraindications, particularly if this provides pain relief.

There is however a lack of evidence to support or refute the routine administration of nitroglycerin in the ED or prehospital setting in patients with a suspected ACS. (LOE IV) Specifically in cocaine-associated chest pain lorazepam and nitroglycerine may be useful in the alleviation of chest pain in this specific setting.

In general non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) should not be administered in patients with suspected ACS as they could be harmful¹⁰. Further, patients with suspected ACS who are taking NSAIDS should have these discontinued if it is feasible. (LOE I).

2 Antiplatelet and Anticoagulant Therapy

2.1 Aspirin administration

The early administration of aspirin in an antiplatelet dose of 300 mg is recommended in patients with suspected ACS where contraindications such as true anaphylaxis or bleeding disorder have been excluded¹¹. The patients should be directed to chew the tablet (which should not be enteric coated). Dissolvable aspirin is preferred¹²⁻¹⁵.

There is currently limited evidence to directly support the strategy of dispatcher directed or bystander administration of aspirin, however, it is considered to be a reasonable approach if the carer is able to exclude a history of true anaphylaxis or bleeding disorder¹⁵⁻¹⁸. (LOE IV).

2.2 Antiplatelet Agents

Clopidogrel: Clopidogrel is a thienopyridine that inhibits P2Y₁₂ platelet receptor. The drug requires activation by a two stage biotransformation within the liver. This is a process that is modulated by genetic polymorphisms resulting in variability in clinical effect¹⁹. Benefit has been demonstrated when added to aspirin in non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome (NSTEACS) patients, including those treated with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Reductions in cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke have been observed but with an increase in major bleeding ²⁰. It is recommended that patients who have moderate to high risk NSTEACS and ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) receive clopidogrel in addition to the standard care (aspirin, anticoagulation and/or a reperfusion). The ideal dose in older patients has not yet been determined. However, in patients under the age of 75 years the loading dose of clopidogrel is 600 mg if PCI is planned or 300 mg if a non-invasive strategy with fibrinolysis is the planned treatment option^{21,22}. (LOE II).

Prasugrel: Prasugrel is a new thienopyridine, that produces more rapid and consistent platelet inhibition¹⁹. In the clopidogrel naïve patient, prasugrel (compared to clopidogrel) reduced the incidence of myocardial infarction in patients with moderate to high risk NSTEACS and patients with STEMI planned for primary PCI. Prasugrel has been associated with a higher rate of bleeding complications in patients >75 years of age, those with a history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack and body weight less than 60 kg. Prasugrel is not recommended in patients with STEMI who have received fibrinolysis. It may be used in place of clopidogrel in patients with STEMI of less than 12 hours duration where PPCI is planned²³. (LOE II)

In patients with NSTEMI, prasugrel may be administered after angiography when the coronary anatomy is known and the plan is to proceed to PCI.

Prasugrel may be administered as a loading dose of 60 mg in place of clopidogrel in these patients with ACS as long as they are not at high risk from bleeding. (LOE II).

Ticagrelor is a pyrimidine derivative, which binds reversibly to the P2Y₁₂ receptor without the need for biotransformation. Like prasugrel, it has a more rapid and consistent onset of action compared with clopidogrel, but additionally it has a quicker offset of action so that recovery of platelet function is faster¹⁹.

Ticagrelor has demonstrated some benefits over clopidogrel in patients with moderate to high risk NSTEACS (treated conservatively or invasively) and patients with STEMI planned for primary PCI (PPCI) in terms of a reduction in death from vascular causes and MI. There was no increase in major bleeding observed but an increase in minor bleeding was seen²⁴.

The adverse effects of ticagrelor include dyspnoea, increased frequency of mostly asymptomatic ventricular pauses, and asymptomatic increases in uric acid.

Ticagrelor is recommended for patients at moderate-to-high risk of ischaemic events (e.g. troponin positive ACS), regardless of initial planned treatment strategy and including those pretreated with clopidogrel. The dose is 180-mg loading dose and then 90 mg twice daily. (LOEII). Ticagrelor may be used then in place of clopidgrel in patients with ACS.

The risks and benefits of combinations of the newer antiplatelet agents are undetermined. It is expected that whilst patients may be switched from one agent to another, the drugs should not be used in combination with each other on an ongoing basis. They are expected to be used in combination with aspirin on an ongoing basis.

Prehospital administration of these agents in the setting of STEMI in recent studies has shown no mortality benefit or harm in the administration of either ticagrelor or clopidogrel^(ref 14.2 NA). ANZCOR suggest that when ADP-receptor antagonists are given to suspected STEMI patients with a planned primary PCI approach, administration can occur in either the prehospital or inhospital setting, but there is insufficient evidence to change existing practice (CoSTR 2015, very-low-quality evidence, weak recommendation)³. The pre hospital administration of ticagrelor when compared with placebo, showed evidence of reduced subacute stent thrombosis.²⁵ Typically the delays between first medical contact and PPCI are relatively short and the administration of these agents can occur either prehospital or in-hospital. It is important that the initial management of STEMI including the prehospital use of these agents is undertaken within an appropriate model of care with close communication between physicians, PCI facility cardiologists and emergency staff. This will also need to take into account geographic, population and resource factors and local systems of care.

3 Anticoagulants

3.1 Anticoagulants in NSTEACS

In patients presenting with NSTEACS, anticoagulation with enoxaparin or unfractionated heparin (UFH) is a reasonable treatment strategy²⁶. (LOE I) This recommendation includes patients managed with an initial conservative approach or a planned invasive approach.

Recent studies have suggested that bivalirudin is an alternative anticoagulant to heparin. It is considered an effective alternative²⁷⁻³⁰. (LOE II). There is however, no definite evidence that it offers an advantage over UFH or enoxaparin where these agents are used without a glycoprotein IIB/IIIA inhibitor. When heparin is used routinely combined with a glycoprotein IIB/IIIA inhibitor, composite ischaemic end points are similar but bleeding is increased compared to bivalirudin and the provisional use of a glycoprotein IIB/IIIA inhibitor.

In patients with renal impairment, those at increased bleeding risk but where anticoagulation therapy is not contraindicated, it may be a reasonable option to treat with bivalirudin. UFH may also be considered while, enoxaparin may be best avoided with renal impairment. There is no specific evidence for or against anticoagulant use in non-ST elevation ACS in the pre-hospital setting. (LOE II).

3.2 Anticoagulants in STEMI treated with Fibrinolysis

In patients with STEMI in the pre-hospital and ED setting, the issue of anticoagulant choice needs to be considered. In patients with STEMI managed with fibrinolysis, anticoagulation with either enoxaparin or UFH is reasonable³¹⁻³⁵. (LOE I).

However, in all situations these agents should not be switched from enoxaparin to UFH or vice versa as this has been shown to be associated with an increased bleeding risk. (Class B;LOE II).

3.3 Anticoagulants in STEMI treated with PCI

In patients with suspected STEMI in the pre-hospital and ED setting there are a number of anticoagulants available to treat patients including bivalirudin and enoxaparin. Enoxaparin may be considered a safe and effective alternate to UFH in the patient with STEMI undergoing contemporary PCI³ (CoSTR 2015, weak recommendation, low-quality evidence). However, to avoid increased bleeding risks, patients initially treated with enoxaparin or UFH should not be switched³6-38. (LOE II). The administration of anticoagulant in patients with suspected STEMI and a planned PPCI can occur in-hospital or in the pre-hospital setting (CoSTR 2015, weak recommendation, very-low-quality evidence)³.

Bivalirudin may be superior to UFH plus glycoprotein IIB/IIIA inhibitors with respect to bleeding complications and reduces adverse cardiac events and mortality in STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI³⁹. There is however, increased rate of stent thrombosis observed in patients treated with bivalirudin in the first 24 hours⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴. There is insufficient data to speculate on the use of bivalirudin versus UFH or enoxaparin alone in patients undergoing PCI. We do not recommend change to exsiting practice of using UFH or enoxaprin. (CoSTR weak recommendation, very-low-quality evidence)³. (LOE II).

3.4 Glycoprotein IIBIIIA inhibitors

There have been recent studies that have called into question the value of the routine use of glycoprotein IIBIIIA inhibitor use in patients with suspected STEMI or non-STEMI in the pre-hospital and ED setting⁴⁵. There may still be a role for glycoprotein IIBIIIA inhibitors in selected high risk patients with NSTE-ACS in whom a PCI is planned. There are increased bleeding risks with the routine use of these agents. (LOE II).

3.5 Fondaparinux

Fondaparinux has no indication for ACS in Australia and New Zealand.

4 Optimal Medical Therapy for Primary and Secondary Prevention

There are a number of additional medical therapies that have been proposed for ACS patients to reduce myocardial ischaemia and recurrent major cardiovascular events, and improve long-term survival. Therapeutic options in the pre-hospital and emergency setting that should be specifically addressed include the routine use of antiarrhythmics, beta blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and HMG CoA-reductase inhibitors.

4.1 Antiarrhythmics

There is little evidence to suggest that the prophylactic use of antiarrhythmics improves outcomes in patients with ACS⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹. The prophylactic use of antiarrhythmic agents is not recommended. (LOE II).

4.2 Beta Blockers

Routine use of IV beta blockers in the pre-hospital setting or during initial assessment in the ED is not supported by the available evidence⁵⁰⁻⁵². It may be useful to administer IV beta blockers in specific settings such as severe hypertension or tachycardia when no contraindication exists. (LOE I).

4.3 ACE Inhibitors

ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blocker agents reduce mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction^{53,54}. However there is insufficient evidence to support the routine initiation of these in the pre-hospital or ED setting. (LOE IV)

4.4 Lipid Lowering Therapy

Statins should be considered early after the onset of ACS. In patients presenting with ACS, unless it is contraindicated, pre-existing statin therapy should be continued⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷. There are no reports on the risk or safety of an early administration of statins. Most studies document treatment within the first 24 hours after presentation. (LOE IV)

4.5 Calcium channel blockers

There is little data to support the routine use of calcium channel blockers in the pre hospital and emergency setting. Reductions in mortality have not been reported in this setting⁵⁸.

References

1. Cabello JB, Burls A, Emparanza JI, Bayliss S, Quinn T. Oxygen therapy for acute myocardial infarction. Cochrane Database Syst Rev:CD007160.

- 2. Wilson AT, Channer KS. Hypoxaemia and supplemental oxygen therapy in the first 24 hours after myocardial infarction: the role of pulse oximetry. J R Coll Physicians Lond 1997;31:657-61.
- 3. Nikolaou NI, Welsford M, Beygui F, et al. Part 5: Acute coronary syndromes: 2015 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. Resuscitation. 2015;95:e121-46.
- 4. Stub D, Smith K, Bernard S, et al. Air Versus Oxygen in ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Circulation. 2015;131:2143-50
- 5. Meine TJ, Roe MT, Chen AY, et al. Association of intravenous morphine use and outcomes in acute coronary syndromes: results from the CRUSADE Quality Improvement Initiative. Am Heart J 2005;149:1043-9.
- Honderick T, Williams D, Seaberg D, Wears R. A prospective, randomized, controlled trial of benzodiazepines and nitroglycerine or nitroglycerine alone in the treatment of cocaineassociated acute coronary syndromes. Am J Emerg Med 2003;21:39-42.
- 7. Bussmann WD, Schofer H, Kaltenbach M. Effects of intravenous nitroglycerin on hemodynamics and ischemic injury in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Eur J Cardiol 1978;8:61-74.
- 8. Bussmann WD, Passek D, Seidel W, Kaltenbach M. Reduction of CK and CK-MB indexes of infarct size by intravenous nitroglycerin. Circulation 1981;63:615-22.
- 9. Charvat J, Kuruvilla T, al Amad H. Beneficial effect of intravenous nitroglycerin in patients with non-Q myocardial infarction. Cardiologia 1990;35:49-54.
- Schlussel AT, Holt DB, Crawley EA, Lustik MB, Wade CE, Uyehara CF. Effect of diabetes mellitus on outcomes of hyperglycemia in a mixed medical surgical intensive care unit. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2011;5:731-40.
- 11. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. BMJ 2002;324:71-86.
- 12. Funk D, Groat C, Verdile VP. Education of paramedics regarding aspirin use. Prehosp Emerg Care 2000;4:62-4.
- 13. Verheugt FW, van der Laarse A, Funke-Kupper AJ, Sterkman LG, Galema TW, Roos JP. Effects of early intervention with low-dose aspirin (100 mg) on infarct size, reinfarction and mortality in anterior wall acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 1990;66:267-70.
- 14. Haynes BE, Pritting J. A rural emergency medical technician with selected advanced skills. Prehosp Emerg Care 1999;3:343-6.

- Freimark D, Matetzky S, Leor J, et al. Timing of aspirin administration as a determinant of survival of patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with thrombolysis. Am J Cardiol 2002;89:381-5.
- 16. Barbash IM, Freimark D, Gottlieb S, et al. Outcome of myocardial infarction in patients treated with aspirin is enhanced by pre-hospital administration. Cardiology 2002;98:141-7.
- Randomised trial of intravenous streptokinase, oral aspirin, both, or neither among 17,187 cases of suspected acute myocardial infarction: ISIS-2. ISIS-2 (Second International Study of Infarct Survival) Collaborative Group. Lancet 1988;2:349-60.
- 18. Quan D, LoVecchio F, Clark B, Gallagher JV, 3rd. Prehospital use of aspirin rarely is associated with adverse events. Prehosp Disaster Med 2004;19:362-5.
- 19. Syed FA, Bett J, Walters DL. Anti-platelet therapy for Acute Coronary Syndrome: A review of currently available agents and what the future holds. Cardiovasc Hematol Disord Drug Targets 2011;11:79-86.
- 20. Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, Tognoni G, Fox KK. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. The New England journal of medicine 2001;345:494-502.
- 21. Dangas G, Mehran R, Guagliumi G, et al. Role of clopidogrel loading dose in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary angioplasty: results from the HORIZONS-AMI (harmonizing outcomes with revascularization and stents in acute myocardial infarction) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:1438-46.
- 22. Mehta SR, Bassand JP, Chrolavicius S, et al. Dose comparisons of clopidogrel and aspirin in acute coronary syndromes. The New England journal of medicine;363:930-42.
- 23. Nicolau JC, Cohen M, Montalescot G. Differences among low-molecular-weight heparins: evidence in patients with acute coronary syndromes. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2009;53:440-5.
- 24. Wallentin L, Becker R, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. New England Journal Medicine 2009;361:1045-57.
- 25. Montalescot G, van 't Hof AW, Lapostolle F, et al. Prehospital ticagrelor in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. The New England journal of medicine 2014;371:1016-27.
- 26. Ferguson JJ, Califf RM, Antman EM, et al. Enoxaparin vs unfractionated heparin in high-risk patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes managed with an intended early invasive strategy: primary results of the SYNERGY randomized trial. JAMA 2004;292:45-54.

- 27. Stone GW, Bertrand ME, Moses JW, et al. Routine upstream initiation vs deferred selective use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors in acute coronary syndromes: the ACUITY Timing trial. JAMA 2007;297:591-602.
- 28. Stone GW, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, et al. Bivalirudin for patients with acute coronary syndromes. The New England journal of medicine 2006;355:2203-16.
- 29. Stone GW, Ware JH, Bertrand ME, et al. Antithrombotic strategies in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing early invasive management: one-year results from the ACUITY trial. JAMA 2007;298:2497-506.
- 30. Stone GW, White HD, Ohman EM, et al. Bivalirudin in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a subgroup analysis from the Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage strategy (ACUITY) trial. Lancet 2007;369:907-19.
- 31. Wallentin L, Dellborg DM, Lindahl B, Nilsson T, Pehrsson K, Swahn E. The low-molecular-weight heparin dalteparin as adjuvant therapy in acute myocardial infarction: the ASSENT PLUS study. Clin Cardiol 2001;24:I12-I4.
- 32. Wallentin L, Goldstein P, Armstrong PW, et al. Efficacy and safety of tenecteplase in combination with the low-molecular-weight heparin enoxaparin or unfractionated heparin in the prehospital setting: the Assessment of the Safety and Efficacy of a New Thrombolytic Regimen (ASSENT)-3 PLUS randomized trial in acute myocardial infarction. Circulation 2003;108:135-42.
- 33. Dubois CL, Belmans A, Granger CB, et al. Outcome of urgent and elective percutaneous coronary interventions after pharmacologic reperfusion with tenecteplase combined with unfractionated heparin, enoxaparin, or abciximab. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:1178-85.
- 34. Morrow DA, Antman EM, Murphy SA, et al. Effect of enoxaparin versus unfractionated heparin in diabetic patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction in the Enoxaparin and Thrombolysis Reperfusion for Acute Myocardial Infarction Treatment-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction study 25 (ExTRACT-TIMI 25) trial. Am Heart J 2007;154:1078-84, 84 e1.
- 35. Thomas D, Giugliano RP. ExTRACT-TIMI 25 in perspective: key lessons regarding enoxaparin as an adjunct to fibrinolytic therapy. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2009;27:1-10.
- 36. Abhyankar AD, Pothiawala SE, Kazi GJ, Jha MJ, Petrolwala M. Use of enoxaparin in emergency room for improving outcomes of primary percutaneous coronary interventions for acute myocardial infarction. Indian Heart J 2008;60:39-44.
- 37. Welsh RC, Gordon P, Westerhout CM, Buller CE, O'Neill B, Armstrong PW. A novel enoxaparin regime for ST elevation myocardial infarction patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: a WEST sub-study. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2007;70:341-8.

- 38. Zeymer U, Gitt A, Zahn R, et al. Efficacy and safety of enoxaparin in combination with and without GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors in unselected patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. EuroIntervention 2009;4:524-8.
- 39. Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, et al. Bivalirudin during primary PCI in acute myocardial infarction. The New England journal of medicine 2008;358:2218-30.
- 40. Doll JA, Nikolsky E, Stone GW, et al. Outcomes of patients with coronary artery perforation complicating percutaneous coronary intervention and correlations with the type of adjunctive antithrombotic therapy: pooled analysis from REPLACE-2, ACUITY, and HORIZONS-AMI trials. J Interv Cardiol 2009;22:453-9.
- 41. Mehran R, Brodie B, Cox DA, et al. The Harmonizing Outcomes with RevasculariZatiON and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction (HORIZONS-AMI) Trial: study design and rationale. Am Heart J 2008;156:44-56.
- 42. Mehran R, Lansky AJ, Witzenbichler B, et al. Bivalirudin in patients undergoing primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction (HORIZONS-AMI): 1-year results of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009;374:1149-59.
- 43. Parodi G, Antoniucci D, Nikolsky E, et al. Impact of bivalirudin therapy in high-risk patients with acute myocardial infarction: 1-year results from the HORIZONS-AMI (Harmonizing Outcomes with RevasculariZatiON and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction) trial. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2010;3:796-802.
- 44. Stone GW, Lansky AJ, Pocock SJ, et al. Paclitaxel-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in acute myocardial infarction. The New England journal of medicine 2009;360:1946-59.
- 45. Wang TY, White JA, Tricoci P, et al. Upstream Clopidogrel Use and the Efficacy and Safety of Early Eptifibatide Treatment in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndrome: An Analysis From the Early Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa Inhibition in Patients With Non-ST-Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome (EARLY ACS) Trial. Circulation;2011:123:722-30
- 46. Koster RW, Dunning AJ. Intramuscular lidocaine for prevention of lethal arrhythmias in the prehospitalization phase of acute myocardial infarction. The New England journal of medicine 1985;313:1105-10.
- 47. Bertini G, Giglioli C, Rostagno C, et al. Early out-of-hospital lidocaine administration decreases the incidence of primary ventricular fibrillation in acute myocardial infarction. J Emerg Med 1993;11:667-72.
- 48. Dunn HM, McComb JM, Kinney CD, et al. Prophylactic lidocaine in the early phase of suspected myocardial infarction. Am Heart J 1985;110:353-62.

- 49. Wyman MG, Wyman RM, Cannom DS, Criley JM. Prevention of primary ventricular fibrillation in acute myocardial infarction with prophylactic lidocaine. Am J Cardiol 2004;94:545-51.
- 50. Paladino L, Sinert R, Brandler E. A review and meta-analysis of studies on the effect and timing of beta-blocker administration in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Hosp Pract 2010;38:63-8.
- 51. Al-Reesi A, Al-Zadjali N, Perry J, et al. Do beta-blockers reduce short-term mortality following acute myocardial infarction? A systematic review and meta-analysis. CJEM 2008;10:215-23.
- 52. Chen ZM, Pan HC, Chen YP, et al. Early intravenous then oral metoprolol in 45,852 patients with acute myocardial infarction: randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2005;366:1622-32.
- 53. de Kam PJ, Voors AA, van den Berg MP, et al. Effect of very early angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition on left ventricular dilation after myocardial infarction in patients receiving thrombolysis: results of a meta-analysis of 845 patients. FAMIS, CAPTIN and CATS Investigators. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:2047-53.
- 54. Voors AA, de Kam PJ, van den Berg MP, et al. Acute administration of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors in thrombolysed myocardial infarction patients is associated with a decreased incidence of heart failure, but an increased re-infarction risk. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 2005;19:119-24.
- 55. Wright RS, Bybee K, Miller WL, Laudon DA, Murphy JG, Jaffe AS. Reduced risks of death and CHF are associated with statin therapy administered acutely within the first 24 h of AMI. Int J Cardiol 2006;108:314-9.
- 56. Wright RS, Murphy JG, Bybee KA, Kopecky SL, LaBlanche JM. Statin lipid-lowering therapy for acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina: efficacy and mechanism of benefit. Mayo Clin Proc 2002;77:1085-92.
- 57. Hulten E, Jackson JL, Douglas K, George S, Villines TC. The effect of early, intensive statin therapy on acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Arch Intern Med 2006;166:1814-21.
- 58. Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction--executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 1999 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction). Circulation 2004;110:588-636.